

CONTROLLED VOCABULARIES

Roy Lowry
British Oceanographic Data Centre

Presentation Overview

- ▣ Controlled Vocabularies - What and Why
- ▣ Controlled Vocabularies - History
- ▣ Controlled Vocabularies - SeaDataNet
- ▣ Controlled Vocabularies - Mappings
- ▣ Controlled Vocabularies - Future

What and Why

- ▣ Controlled Vocabulary (CV)
 - A collection of concepts that may legally populate a given field in a data or metadata model.
 - A concept is an instance of the real world entity modelled by that field - e.g. Instrument, parameter.

- ▣ Concept Labelling
 - Machine readable label - code, URI (URN or URL)
 - Human readable labels - name, abbreviation, definition

What and Why

▣ Why?

- Alternative to CV is plain language text which is subject to:
 - ▣ Spelling errors - e.g. *Macoma baltica* for *Macoma balthica*
 - ▣ Entity abuse - e.g. Sea-Bird SBE9-11+ in a parameter field
- CVs and concepts may be incorporated into knowledge management infrastructure and linked semantically to build ontologies.
 - ▣ Smart discovery
 - ▣ AI-driven data aggregation

History - Beginnings

- ▣ In the 1980s there was IODE GETADE who developed the GF3 code tables
 - Thorough content governance with concepts well defined and their scope carefully considered
 - Published by IODE as a book in five languages
 - Result is a beautiful piece of work that cannot be maintained.

History - Dark Ages

- ▣ In the 1990s GETADE waned as funding squeezed
- ▣ Some vocabulary governance moved to individuals
 - Poor judgement on what new entries should be allowed leading to vocabulary abuse (e.g. Making a data model 1:1 into 1:many by adding a list as a vocabulary concept)
- ▣ Some vocabularies moved to local management
 - Like Galapagos finches they evolved into entities that were similar but significantly different
 - Unlike Galapagos finches many variants retained the same name!

History - Renaissance

- ▣ SEASEARCH - content governance delegated to individuals, but realisation that vocabulary management needed to be centralised with a master copy universally accessible 24/7.
- ▣ SeaDataNet/NERC DataGrid - developed the NERC Vocabulary Server at BODC to deliver this.
 - Accessible vocabularies with clear entity definitions
 - Every concept given a URN that resolves into a URL that delivers an RDF XML document
 - Basis of the Semantic Web

SeaDataNet Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ SeaDataNet makes extensive use of CVs in its metadata models and data formats
- ▣ Each CV targets one or more fields in these models/formats
- ▣ List of SeaDataNet CVs may be found at http://seadatanet.maris2.nl/v_bodc_vocab_v2/welcome.asp
- ▣ Ignore the Mxx entries they are hosted by SeaDataNet on behalf of MEDIN, which just leaves 64!
- ▣ Common practice is to use the 3-character code in the 'Library' column as the CV name e.g. P01, P02, L05, L22

SeaDataNet Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ SeaDataNet Controlled Vocabularies may be accessed in one of five ways:
 - Human readable forms
 - ▣ [Maris client library](#)
 - ▣ [Maris client thesaurus](#)
 - ▣ [BODC thesaurus \(concept scheme\)](#) best viewed in Chrome
 - Machine readable forms
 - ▣ RESTful interface to [CV](#) or [concept](#) (RDF XML)
 - ▣ SOAP interface

SeaDataNet Controlled Vocabularies

▣ RESTful Syntax

- Base is <http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/> (returns an RDF XML catalogue of all 263 CVs in NVS)
- To this we add the 3-byte vocabulary ID plus 'current' e.g. <http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P03/current/> (returns all concepts in that CV in RDF XML)
- To this we can add
 - ▣ 'accepted' (returns all valid concepts in that CV in RDF XML)
 - ▣ 'deprecated' (returns all deprecated concepts in that CV in RDF XML)
 - ▣ 'all' (returns all concepts in that CV in RDF XML)
 - ▣ Concept code (returns concept document in RDF XML)

SeaDataNet Controlled Vocabularies

▣ RDF XML Concept Document (deprecated concept)

```
<skos:Concept
  rdf:about="http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P01/current/PCONZZ01/">
  <ctskos:prefLabel xml:lang="en">Electrical conductivity of the water
  body</skos:prefLabel>
  <skos:altLabel xml:lang="en">WC_Cond</skos:altLabel>
  <skos:definition xml:lang="en">This is an obsolete term for this definition. Use
  CNDCZZ01 instead.</skos:definition>
  <dc:identifier>SDN:P01::PCONZZ01</dc:identifier>
  <skos:notation>SDN:P01::PCONZZ01</skos:notation>
  <owl:versionInfo>2</owl:versionInfo>
  <dc:date>2014-01-22 13:48:35.0</dc:date>
  <skos:note xml:lang="en">deprecated</skos:note>
  <owl:deprecated>true</owl:deprecated>
  ><dc:isReplacedBy
    rdf:resource="http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P01/current/CNDCZZ01/" />
  <skos:broader
    rdf:resource="http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P02/current/CNDC/" />
  <skos:related
    rdf:resource="http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P06/current/UECA/" />
  <void:inDataset rdf:resource="http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/.well-
  known/void" /></skos:Concept>
```

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Mapping strategy depends upon workflow order
 - What comes first - CDI record or Data file?
 - CDI record first
 - ▣ Parameters and instruments for CDI assigned by manually mapping local vocabularies to P02 and L05
 - ▣ Parameters and instruments for data file assigned by manually mapping local vocabularies to P01 and L22
 - Data file first
 - ▣ Parameters and instruments for data file assigned by manually mapping local vocabularies to P01 and L22
 - ▣ Parameters and instruments for CDI automatically obtained using P01/P02 and L05/L22 mappings in NVS

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

▣ Manual Mapping Techniques

▪ Library Text Search

- ▣ Input a string into the 'Free search' box and press 'Search'
 - Wildcard character is '%' for 1 or more characters
 - Search is case-insensitive
 - Wildcard automatically added to start and end of string
 - 'Microzooplankton taxonomy-related biosurface area per unit volume of the water column' found by search for 'zooplankton'

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Manual Mapping Techniques
 - Library Text Search
 - ▣ Hardest vocabulary to map to is P01 because it's big (currently 30500 concepts)
 - ▣ Planned construction of search strings can help
 - P01 concept labels can be long and complex
 - BUT they are constructed using a semantic model so information is always presented in the order
 - What
 - Substance name then synonyms
 - What to where relationship
 - Where
 - How

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Manual Mapping Techniques
 - Consider a search for PCB183 in 'standard' fine sediment (<63um)
 - The following will fail to find anything
 - ▣ 'PCB183 concentration'
 - ▣ '63um sediment' (63um%sediment gets false hits)
 - ▣ 'sediment <63um%dry weight'
 - But this is right on the money
 - ▣ 'con%PCB183%dry%sediment%<63'

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- Manual Mapping Techniques
 - String has all components in the right order
 - What - con for Concentration
 - Substance synonym - PCB183
 - What to where relationship - dry for dry weight
 - Where sediment%<63 for sediment <63um
 - The resulting hit
 - Concentration of 2,2',3,4,4',5',6-heptachlorobiphenyl {PCB183 CAS 52663-69-1} per unit dry weight of sediment <63um

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Manual Mapping Techniques
 - Thesaurus Search
 - ▣ For parameters entry point is P08 (Disciplines), P03 (Agreed Parameter Groups) or P02 (Discovery Parameters)
 - Pressing a '+' in P08 opens up P03
 - Pressing a '+' in P03 opens up P02
 - Pressing a '+' in P02 opens up P01
 - ▣ Works well for finding P01 in cases where small numbers of P01 terms are mapped to each P02
 - ▣ In other cases the list may be too long for comfortable scanning and library string searching will work better

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Automated Mapping Technique
 - To automatically find the P02 code for a given P01 code
 - ▣ Obtain the [RDF XML document](#) for the P01 code
 - ▣ Look for <skos:broader rdf:resource including the URL for a P02 concept which in this example is:
 - <skos:broader
rdf:resource="<http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/collection/P02/current/NTRI/>>
 - ▣ Job Done - all that's needed is a bit of software to do the job programmatically
 - ▣ In BODC we store P01 codes in data and automatically convert to P02 to generate CDI. SHOULD EXCLUDE CO-ORDINATE VARIABLES

Mapping to Controlled Vocabularies

- ▣ Parameter mappings
 - The biggest problem with mapping local parameter vocabularies to a standard vocabulary is understanding EXACTLY what is meant by the local term.
 - Consider the parameter 'Particulate Zinc'
 - ▣ This could mean:
 - The concentration of zinc per unit dry weight of the residue of a filtered sample
 - The concentration of zinc contained in the particles per unit volume of a body of water
 - The concentration of zinc contained in the particles per unit mass of a body of water
 - ▣ Each of these has a different P01 code.
 - Think carefully and ask many questions!

Controlled Vocabularies - Future

- ▣ P01 mappings based on semantic model
 - Expose elements of the semantic model
 - ▣ Concentration of
 - ▣ 2,2',3,4,4',5',6-heptachlorobiphenyl {PCB183 CAS 52663-69-1}
 - ▣ per unit dry weight of
 - ▣ sediment <63um
 - User selects combination that maps to their local parameter like one-armed bandit wheels
 - System returns appropriate P01 code or automatically generates a new P01 code

Controlled Vocabularies - Future

- ▣ P01 mappings based on semantic model
 - Creates the risk of 'Green Dog' syndrome
 - ▣ User is free to select any combination of elements
 - ▣ Some combinations may be valid, others are not
 - Consider lists of animals plus colours
 - GREEN + LIZARD - good choice
 - GREEN + DOG - not such a good choice
 - ▣ Consequently, quality control of user-selected semantic model combinations is essential
 - ▣ Places latency in new code assignment cycle but I am convinced this is worthwhile - others disagree

Controlled Vocabularies - Future

- ▣ Semantic aggregation
 - Set up a vocabulary of aggregated parameter concepts - P35 for EMODNET chemistry
 - Map each P35 concept to P01 concepts that may be validly included in the aggregation
 - Aggregation software issues RESTful call to NERC Vocabulary Server for P35 concept
 - Software then parses returned RDF XML document to identify P01 concepts that may validly be aggregated
 - This functionality is currently being written into ODV